

ON DISCONNECTED IMAGES OF CONNECTED SPACES

ANTONIO AVILÉS AND GRZEGORZ PLEBANEK

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion that a zero-dimensional compact space L is a *Boolean image* of an arbitrary compact space K . When K is also zero-dimensional, this just means that L is a continuous image of K . However, a number of interesting questions arise when we consider connected compacta K .

1. INTRODUCTION

A *continuous* image of a connected space is clearly connected but we shall consider here the concept of a *Boolean image* that allows to loose connectedness. A pseudoclopen in a compact space K is a pair $a = (a^-, a^+)$ such that a^- is closed subset of K , a^+ is an open subset of K and $a^- \subset a^+$. Pseudoclopes are also called *regular pairs* and serve as a substitute of clopen sets when we work in spaces which are not totally disconnected. If c is a clopen set, then (c, c) is a pseudoclopen, and when we are in a zero-dimensional compact space this is, in a sense, the end of the story because given any pseudoclopen a there exists a clopen c such that $a^- \subset c \subset a^+$. Given a Boolean formula $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and pseudoclopes $a_1, \dots, a_n$, the statement

$$*P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

will mean that $P(a_1^\pm, \dots, a_n^\pm)$ holds for all the 2^n many possible choices of signs. Consider, for instance,

$$P(x_1, x_2) \equiv x_1 \subset x_2 \vee x_2 \subset x_1.$$

Then $*P(a_1, a_2)$ means that either $a_1^+ \subset a_2^-$ or $a_2^+ \subset a_1^-$. With this kind of notation, we can say that a family $\mathcal{C}$ of pseudoclopes is a $*$ chain if $*P(a_1, a_2)$ for any distinct $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{C}$.

Our work has been motivated by the following result of Brech and Koszmider, which was used in [2] as an instrumental tool to provide some examples in the theory of Banach spaces of continuous functions.

Theorem 1.1 (Brech and Koszmider). *If K is compact convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^\Gamma$, then K does not contain any ω_1 - $*$ chain of pseudoclopes.*

Another result of Koszmider [6] can be interpreted in this language as follows.

Theorem 1.2 (Koszmider). *It is relatively consistent that there exists a nonmetrizable compact space K which contains no uncountable set of $*$ different pseudoclopes.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03G05, 06E15, 54D05.

The first author supported by MINECO and FEDER (MTM2014-54182-P). Both authors supported by Fundación Séneca - Región de Murcia (19275/PI/14). The second author supported by the Polish National Science Center research grant NCN grant 2013/11/B/ST1/03596 (2014-2017).

Note that pseudoclopens a, b are said to be $\ast$ different if $a^- \setminus b^+ \neq \emptyset$ or $b^- \setminus a^+ \neq \emptyset$.

These results suggest a deeper analysis of what one can say about the structure of pseudoclopens in connected compact spaces — we shall try to initiate it in this paper.

Let us start with a couple of definitions. An isomorphism between two families of pseudoclopens $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ is a bijection $\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ such that, for any Boolean polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ we have that

$$\ast P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \emptyset \iff \ast P(\phi(a_1), \dots, \phi(a_n)) = \emptyset,$$

for any distinct $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{G}$.

If L is a compact zero-dimensional space then we write $\text{Clop}(L)$ for the algebra of clopen subsets of L . We are primarily interested in investigating which families $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Clop}(L)$ of clopen sets are isomorphic to families of pseudoclopens in another compact space K , which is connected or has some stronger properties. In such a case our definition of an isomorphism can be stated as follows.

Definition 1.3. *Given a family of sets $\mathcal{G}$ and a function ϕ from $\mathcal{G}$ into a family of pseudoclopens of a compact space K , we say that ϕ is an isomorphism if for every m, n and any distinct $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathcal{G}$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } \bigcap_{i \leq n} a_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \leq m} b_j = \emptyset \text{ then } \bigcap_{i \leq n} \phi(a_i)^+ \setminus \bigcup_{j \leq m} \phi(b_j)^- = \emptyset; \\ &\text{if } \bigcap_{i \leq n} \phi(a_i)^- \setminus \bigcup_{j \leq m} \phi(b_j)^+ = \emptyset \text{ then } \bigcap_{i \leq n} a_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \leq m} b_j = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

If $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a Boolean polynomial and $a_1, \dots, a_n$ are pseudoclopens then we can define a pseudoclopen

$$P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (P(a_1, \dots, a_n)^-, P(a_1, \dots, a_n)^+),$$

by induction on the complexity of P : For $P(x) = x$ we set $P(a)^- = a^-$ and $P(a)^+ = a^+$; for $P(x) = x^c$ set $P(a)^- = (a^+)^c$ and $P(a)^+ = (a^-)^c$, and continue in the obvious manner. With this notation at hand, we can express that ϕ in the definition above is an isomorphism if the equivalences

$$P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \emptyset \iff P(\phi(a_1), \dots, \phi(a_n))^+ = \emptyset \iff P(\phi(a_1), \dots, \phi(a_n))^- = \emptyset.$$

hold for any distinct $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{G}$.

Note that here it is not essential that $\mathcal{G}$ is a family of clopen sets in a compact space. We can as well consider any family $\mathcal{G}$ in some Boolean algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, and treat $a \in \mathcal{G}$ as a corresponding clopen set in the Stone space of $\mathfrak{A}$.

A subfamily $\mathcal{G}$ of $\text{Clop}(L)$ separates the points of L if for every two different points $x, y \in L$ there exist $a \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $|\{x, y\} \cap a| = 1$. The next definition introduces the concept that is basic for our considerations.

Definition 1.4. *We say that a compact zero-dimensional space L is a Boolean image of a compact space K if there is a family of clopens of L that separates the points of L and which is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens of K .*

In the sequel, K and L (with possible indices) always denote compact Hausdorff spaces. Notice that the concept of a Boolean image generalizes the usual notion of a continuous image.

Remark 1.5. Let the compact space K be zero-dimensional. Then L is a Boolean image of K if and only if L is a continuous image of K .

Proof. If $g : K \rightarrow L$ is a continuous surjection then clearly the operation $c \rightarrow g^{-1}(c)$ is an isomorphism between $\text{Clop}(L)$ and a subalgebra of $\text{Clop}(K)$.

If $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Clop}(L)$ separates the points of L and $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ establishes an isomorphism with a family $\mathcal{G}'$ of pseudoclopes in K then, since K is zero-dimensional, we can assume that in fact $\mathcal{G}' \subset \text{Clop}(K)$. Given $x \in K$, the family $\{c \in \mathcal{G} : x \in \varphi(c)\}$ is centred and its intersection must be a singleton, say $\{g(x)\}$. It is easy to check that this defines a continuous surjection $g : K \rightarrow L$. $\square$

In a sense, we form the notion of a Boolean image by twisting the definition of continuous image, so as to possibly have disconnected images of connected spaces. For instance, Theorem 1.2 can be now restated by saying that, consistently, there exists a compact nonmetrizable space such that all its Boolean images are metrizable. Another result from the literature that can be read in this manner is a theorem on the space

$$B(\Gamma) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^\Gamma : \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |x_\gamma| \leq 1\},$$

stating that *no product of more than one nonmetrizable spaces can be a Boolean image of $B(\Gamma)$* , see [1].

One of our main results presented here offers an essential improvement of Theorem 1.1 and reads as follows.

Theorem 1.6. *If K is separably connected and L is a Boolean image of K , then either L is Corson compact or L maps continuously onto 2^{ω_1} .*

Here we say that K is *separably connected* if every two points of K are contained in a connected separable subspace of K . Note that every path-connected space and hence every convex set in a topological vector space is separably connected. A compact space L is Corson compact if, for some Γ , it is homeomorphic to a subset of the Σ -product

$$\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^\Gamma) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^\Gamma : |\{\gamma : x_\gamma \neq 0\}| \leq \aleph_0\}.$$

The ordinal interval compact space $[0, \omega_1]$ is neither Corson compact nor does it map onto 2^{ω_1} ; on the other hand, it has an ω_1 -chain of clopens that separates its points. Hence, Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.6. This result as well as some more technical results and interesting corollaries about the structure of pseudoclopes in separably connected are presented in Section 4.

In Section 3 we try to understand which zero-dimensional compact spaces are Boolean images of compact connected spaces. The main result is that a family of sets $\mathcal{G}$ happens to be isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopes of a connected space if and only if for every finite subfamily of $\mathcal{G}$, the graph of nonempty atomic intersections is connected. Consequently,

such a family $\mathcal{G}$ must be strongly irredundant; in particular, no $a \in \mathcal{G}$ can be generated from $\mathcal{G} \setminus \{a\}$. It follows that F -spaces and nonmetrizable scattered spaces of height 3 are not Boolean images of connected compacta. On the positive side, we prove that every zero-dimensional compact line is a Boolean image of a connected compactum. This yields an example of zero-dimensional compact space that is a Boolean image of a connected compactum but is not a Boolean image of a separably connected compact space.

Finally, in Section 5 we study Boolean images of convex compacta. Theorem 5.1 shows that if L is such an image then $\text{Clop}(L)$ has a generating family with special structure properties. We give an example of a zero-dimensional space that is a Boolean image of a path-connected compactum but not of a compact convex set.

2. SOME BASIC FACTS

We consider throughout the section a compact zero-dimensional space L and an arbitrary compact space K .

Proposition 2.1. *If L is a Boolean image of K , and K is a continuous image of K_0 , then L is a Boolean image of K_0 . In particular, if L is a Boolean image of K , then L is a continuous image of every zero-dimensional compact space that maps continuously onto the space K .*

Proof. If $f : K_0 \rightarrow K$ is the continuous onto map and $\{(a_i^-, a_i^+) : i \in I\}$ is a family of pseudoclopens of K that is isomorphic to a point-separating subfamily of $\text{Clop}(L)$, then simply consider the family $\{(f^{-1}[a_i^-], f^{-1}[a_i^+]) : i \in I\}$ of pseudoclopens in K_0 . The second statement follows from Remark 1.5. $\square$

We do not know if the converse of the last assertion holds true, see Problem 2.5.

Proposition 2.2. *If L is a Boolean image of K , then L is a continuous image of a closed subspace of K .*

Proof. Let $\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be an isomorphism of a point-separating family $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Clop}(L)$ with a family $\mathcal{F}$ of pseudoclopens of K . Consider

$$K_0 = \bigcap_{a \in \mathcal{G}} (\phi(a)^- \cup (K \setminus \phi(a)^+)),$$

and define $f : K_0 \rightarrow L$ by declaring $f(x)$ to be the only point in

$$L(x) = \bigcap \{a \in \mathcal{G} : x \in \phi(a)^-\} \setminus \bigcup \{a \in \mathcal{G} : x \notin \phi(a)^+\}.$$

To check that f is well-defined note first that we cannot have two different elements in $L(x)$; indeed, take any $y_1, y_2 \in L$, $y_1 \neq y_2$. Because the family $\mathcal{G}$ separates the points of L we can assume, by symmetry, that $y_1 \in a$ and $y_2 \notin a$ for some $a \in \mathcal{G}$. Since $x \in K_0$ we have either $x \in \phi(a)^-$ giving $L(x) \subset a$ and $y_2 \notin L(x)$, or $x \notin \phi(a)^+$ giving $L(x) \cap a = \emptyset$ and $y_1 \notin L(x)$.

The set $L(x)$ must be nonempty, because otherwise, by compactness, we would have a finite subset $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$\bigcap \{a \in \mathcal{G}_0 : x \in \phi(a)^-\} \setminus \bigcup \{a \in \mathcal{G}_0 : x \notin \phi(a)^+\} = \emptyset.$$

But since ϕ is an isomorphism, that would mean that

$$\bigcap \{\phi(a)^- : a \in \mathcal{G}_0, x \in \phi(a)^-\} \setminus \bigcup \{\phi(a)^+ : a \in \mathcal{G}_0, x \notin \phi(a)^+\} = \emptyset,$$

which is absurd since x belongs to that set.

Having the function f well-defined, note that if $a \in \mathcal{G}$ then

$$f^{-1}[a] = \phi(a)^- \cap K_0 = \phi^+(a) \cap K_0$$

is a clopen subset of K_0 . Since $\mathcal{G}$ separates the points of L , $\mathcal{G}$ generates $\text{Clop}(L)$ and therefore $f^{-1}[b]$ is clopen for every $b \in \text{Clop}(L)$. Hence f is continuous.

Finally, given $y \in L$, the set

$$\bigcap \{\phi(a)^- : a \in \mathcal{G}, y \in a\} \setminus \bigcup \{\phi(a)^+ : a \in \mathcal{G}, y \notin a\}$$

is nonempty, similarly as before, by the fact that ϕ is an isomorphism and by compactness. A point x in that intersection satisfies $f(x) = y$. This shows that f is onto. $\square$

The way of reasoning presented above, combining compactness and the notion of isomorphism will be repeated several times along this paper. It will become clear later that the implication in Proposition 2.2 cannot be reversed: every L is a continuous image of a closed subspace of $[0, 1]^\Gamma$ but, as we shall see, some L are not Boolean images of the Tikhonov cubes.

Proposition 2.3. *If L_i is a Boolean image of K_i for $i \in I$, then $L = \prod_{i \in I} L_i$ is a Boolean image of $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i$.*

Proof. For every i , consider a point-separating family $\mathcal{G}_i \subset \text{Clop}(L_i)$ and a family $\mathcal{F}_i$ of pseudoclopens of K_i that is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_i$. Write, for every $i \in I$, $\pi_i : L \rightarrow L_i$ and $p_i : K \rightarrow K_i$ for the projections, and put

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \{\pi_i^{-1}[a] : a \in \mathcal{G}_i\}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \{(p_i^{-1}[b^-], p_i^{-1}[b^+]) : b \in \mathcal{F}_i\}.$$

It is routine to check that the families are isomorphic. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. 2^Γ is a Boolean image of $[0, 1]^\Gamma$.

Let us remark that $[0, 1]^\Gamma$ is, in a sense, the smallest compact connected space having 2^Γ as a Boolean image: If 2^Γ is a Boolean image of a compact space K then K can be continuously mapped onto $[0, 1]^\Gamma$. This follows from the fact that if $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Clop}(2^\Gamma)$ is a point separating family then $\mathcal{G}$ contains an independent family $\mathcal{G}_0$ of size $|\Gamma|$.

There are two natural questions concerning Boolean and continuous images we have not been able to settle.

Problem 2.5. (i) Suppose that L is a continuous image of every zero-dimensional space that maps continuously onto K . Does this imply that L is a Boolean image of K ?

(ii) Let L be a Boolean image of K and suppose that L' is a continuous image of L . Is L' a Boolean image of K ?

3. BOOLEAN IMAGES OF CONNECTED SPACES

Given a finite family $\mathcal{F}$ of sets, we consider a graph $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ which is defined as follows. The set of its vertices consists of the subsets $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\bigcap \mathcal{Y} \setminus \bigcup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{Y}) \neq \emptyset.$$

We declare that vertices $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are joined by an edge if and only if their symmetric difference $\mathcal{Y} \triangle \mathcal{Z} = (\mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{Z}) \cup (\mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{Y})$ is exactly one point.

Lemma 3.1. *Let I be a finite set and let $L \subset 2^I$; write $F_i = \{x \in L : x_i = 1\}$ for $i \in I$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i : i \in I\}$. Let $K \subset [0, 1]^I$ be set of all y such that for some $k \in I$ there are $x, x' \in L$ such that $y_j = x_j = x'_j$ for $j \in I \setminus \{k\}$ and $x'_k \leq y_k \leq x_k$.*

Then K is a compact subspace of $[0, 1]^I$ and K is connected whenever $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ is a connected graph. Moreover, the mapping

$$F_i \mapsto (F_i, V_i), \text{ where } V_i = \{x \in K : x_i > 0\},$$

establishes an isomorphism between the family $\mathcal{F}$ and the family of pseudoclopens of K .

Proof. Clearly K is compact as it is a union of L and a finite number of segments contained in $[0, 1]^I$. Note that every $x \in L$ corresponds to the vertex $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{Y} = \{F_i \in \mathcal{F} : x_i = 1\}$ and K contains a segment joining two vertices that are joined by an edge in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$. Therefore, if $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ is a connected graph then K is path-connected.

Suppose that $y \in \bigcap_{i \in J} V_i \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I \setminus J} F_i \neq \emptyset$ for some $J \subset I$ and consider the set

$$H = \bigcap_{j \in J} F_j \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I \setminus J} F_i.$$

Then either $y \in L$ and $y \in H$ or there is $k \in I$ and $x, x' \in L$ with $x'_k = 0$, $x_k = 1$ and $y_j = x_j = x'_j$ for $i \neq k$. Then $x \in H$ if $k \in J$ and $x' \in H$ otherwise. Hence, in each case, $H \neq \emptyset$. Since $L \subset K$, this shows that the mapping $F_i \mapsto (F_i, V_i)$ is indeed an isomorphism. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *For a family $\mathcal{G}$ of sets the following are equivalent*

- (i) *the family $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens in a compact connected space;*
- (ii) *for every nonempty finite $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$, $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ is a connected graph.*

Proof. We first prove the implication (ii) $\rightarrow$ (i). Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Clop}(L)$, where L is compact and zerodimensional and $\mathcal{G}$ separates the points of L . In turn, an application of the embedding $L \ni x \mapsto (1_G(x))_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \in 2^{\mathcal{G}}$ reduces the situation to the case when $L \subset 2^{\Gamma}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{F_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, where $F_\gamma = \{x \in L : x_\gamma = 1\}$.

For every finite $I \subset \Gamma$, we consider the projection $L_I = \pi_I[L]$ and the family $\mathcal{F}_I = \{\pi_I[F_\gamma] : \gamma \in I\}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathcal{F}_I$ is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens of a compact connected space $K_I \subset [0, 1]^I$. It follows from the construction of K_I that for $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \Gamma$, K_{I_1} is a projection of K_{I_2} .

We have defined in this way an inverse system $(K_I)_{I \in [\Gamma]^{<\omega}}$ of compact connected spaces (with projections acting as bonding maps). It follows that the limit K of that system is compact and connected, see eg, [3], 6.1.18. It follows easily from the basic properties of inverse systems that the mapping $F_\gamma \mapsto (F_\gamma, V_\gamma)$, where $V_\gamma = \{y \in K : y_\gamma > 0\}$, gives the required isomorphism between $\mathcal{F}$ and a family of pseudoclopens of K .

To verify (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii) consider a finite family $\mathcal{F}$ of sets and an isomorphism ϕ from $\mathcal{F}$ onto a family of pseudoclopens in a compact connected space K . For any vertex $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ consider the open set $V(\mathcal{Y}) \subset K$, where

$$V(\mathcal{Y}) = \bigcap_{a \in \mathcal{Y}} \phi(a)^+ \setminus \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{Y}} \phi(a)^-.$$

CLAIM. If $V(\mathcal{Y}) \cap V(\mathcal{Z}) \neq \emptyset$ then the vertices $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are connected by a path in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$.

Consider $a \in \mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ and set $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{Y} \setminus \{a\}$. Take $y \in V(\mathcal{Y}) \cap V(\mathcal{Z})$. Then $y \in \phi(b)^+$ for $b \in \mathcal{Y}'$ and $y \notin \phi(b)^-$ for $b \notin \mathcal{Y}'$, because $a \notin \mathcal{Z}$. Therefore $y \in V(\mathcal{Y}') \cap V(\mathcal{Z})$.

Since ϕ is an isomorphism, $\mathcal{Y}'$ is a vertex in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$, and $|\mathcal{Y}' \triangle \mathcal{Z}| = |\mathcal{Y} \triangle \mathcal{Z}| - 1$. Hence, by induction, there is a path in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ leading from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\mathcal{Z}$.

The space K is a union of $V(\mathcal{Y})$ over all the vertices $\mathcal{Y}$; indeed, for a given $y \in K$ consider $\mathcal{Y} = \{s \in \mathcal{F} : y \in \phi(s)^+\}$ which is a vertex since ϕ is an isomorphism. Therefore, the claim shows that $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ cannot be split into two nonempty sets of vertices that are not connected; otherwise K would be a disjoint union of nonempty open sets, which is impossible as K is a connected topological space. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *A family of sets $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens in a compact connected space if and only if every finite $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$ has such a property.*

Remark 3.4. Let A, B, C be subsets of a space X such that

$$D = A \cap B = B \cap C = A \cap C.$$

If $D \notin \{\emptyset, X\}$ then the family $\mathcal{F} = \{A, B, C\}$ is not isomorphic to pseudoclopens in a connected space; indeed, in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ the vertex $\mathcal{F}$ is isolated.

For a family $\mathcal{G}$ contained in some Boolean algebra we write $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ for the subalgebra generated by $\mathcal{G}$.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a family of sets such that for every nonempty finite $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$ there is $a \in \mathcal{F}$ containing no nonempty set from $\langle \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a\} \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens in a compact connected space.*

Proof. We shall check that the graph $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ is connected for every nonempty finite $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$. This can be done by induction on $|\mathcal{F}|$. Take $a \in \mathcal{F}$ that contains no nonempty element from $\langle \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a\} \rangle$; let $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a\}$.

Consider any vertices $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ and the following three cases.

- (i) $a \notin \mathcal{Y}, a \notin \mathcal{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ may be seen as verices in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F}')$; moreover every vertex in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F}')$ is also a vertex in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ (by the property of a) so $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ are connected by a path by induction.

- (ii) $a \in \mathcal{Y}$, $a \in \mathcal{Z}$. Put $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{Y} \setminus \{a\}$ and $\mathcal{Z}' = \mathcal{Z} \setminus \{a\}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}'$ is a vertex in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ joined with $\mathcal{Y}$ by an edge. Moreover, there is a path from $\mathcal{Y}'$ to $\mathcal{Z}'$ in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F}')$ so there is a path from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$.
- (iii) $a \in \mathcal{Y}$, $a \notin \mathcal{Z}$. Consider $\mathcal{Y}'$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ and argue as above.

Now we finish the proof applying Theorem 3.2. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a family of sets such that every $a, b \in \mathcal{G}$ are either comparable by inclusion or disjoint. Then there is $\mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $\langle \mathcal{G}' \rangle = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens in a compact connected space.*

Proof. We may suppose that every $a \in \mathcal{G}$ is nonempty. Let $\mathcal{G}'$ be a subfamily of $\mathcal{G}$ that is maximal with respect to the property

$$\text{for every } a, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{G}', \text{ if } b_1 \cup \dots \cup b_n \subset a \text{ then } a \setminus \bigcup_{i \leq n} b_i \notin \mathcal{G}'.$$

Note first that $\langle \mathcal{G}' \rangle = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$. Indeed if $x \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}'$ then $\mathcal{G}' \cup \{x\}$ does not have the above property so there are $a, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{G}' \cup \{x\}$, a containing all b_i , such that

$$a \setminus \bigcup_{i \leq n} b_i = g \in \mathcal{G}' \cup \{x\}.$$

If $a = x$ then necessarily $g \neq x$ and $b_i \neq x$ (because $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{G}$) so $b_i \in \mathcal{G}'$ for every i ; this gives $x = \bigcup_i b_i \cup g \in \langle \mathcal{G}' \rangle$. The remaining case, when $x = b_i$ for some i , is similar.

If $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ is finite and nonempty then take $a \in \mathcal{F}$ which is minimal in $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to inclusion. Then from the property of $\mathcal{G}'$ it follows that a contains no nonempty element from $\langle \mathcal{F} \setminus \{a\} \rangle$ and we may apply Corollary 3.5 $\square$

Corollary 3.7. *Let L be a zero-dimensional compact space which is a continuous image of a zero-dimensional compact line L^* . Then L is a Boolean image of a compact connected space.*

Proof. Since L is a continuous image of L^* , $\text{Clop}(L)$ may be seen as a subalgebra of $\text{Clop}(L^*)$. This implies that $\text{Clop}(L)$ is generated by a family $\mathcal{G}$ such that every $a, b \in \mathcal{G}$ are either comparable or disjoint, see Heindorf [5]. Hence we finish the proof applying Corollary 3.6. $\square$

In connection with the above result, it is worth recalling that the class of continuous images of compact lines coincides with the class of monotonically normal compact spaces; this highly nontrivial result is due to Mary Ellen Rudin who proved a long-standing conjecture, see [7].

Let us recall that a π -base of a topological space is a collection $\mathcal{B}$ of non-empty open sets such that every non-empty open set contains an element of $\mathcal{B}$. The π -weight of the space is the minimal cardinality of a π -basis. This cardinal invariant lies between the density character and the weight of the space.

Corollary 3.8. *For every zero-dimensional compact space L there exists a zero-dimensional continuous image L_1 of L whose weight equals the π -weight of L such that L_1 is the Boolean image of a connected compact space.*

Proof. Let κ be the π -weight of L . We construct a transfinite sequence $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of clopen subsets of L such that, for every $\alpha < \kappa$, a_α does not contain any non-empty element of the algebra generated by $\{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$. This can be done by induction since we know that $\{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ cannot be a π -basis, as its cardinality is less than the π -weight of L .

Then the family $\mathcal{G} = \{a_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ satisfies the assumption of Corollary 3.5, so $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopen of a connected compactum. Hence the Stone space L_1 of the algebra generated by $\mathcal{G}$ has the required property. $\square$

Recall that a family $\mathcal{G}$ of elements of a Boolean algebra is *irredundant* if $a \notin \langle \mathcal{G} \setminus \{a\} \rangle$ for every $a \in \mathcal{G}$. We shall say that $\mathcal{G}$ is *strongly irredundant* if for every disjoint $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{G}$, the algebra $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{F}' \rangle$ is trivial.

Corollary 3.9. *If $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens of a connected compactum, then $\mathcal{G}$ is strongly irredundant, so in particular irredundant.*

Proof. Suppose x is a nontrivial element in $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{F}' \rangle$, where $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{G}$ are finite and disjoint. We consider $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}')$. We can divide its vertices $\mathcal{Z}$ into two pieces, depending on whether

$$\bigcap \mathcal{Z} \setminus \bigcup ((\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}') \setminus \mathcal{Z})$$

is contained in x or disjoint from it. Take a vertex $\mathcal{Z}$ of the first type. Then for $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{F}$, putting $y = \bigcap \mathcal{Y} \setminus \bigcup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{Y})$, we have $y \cap x \neq \emptyset$. But y is an atom of $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ and $x \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ so $y \subset x$. The same observation applies to $\mathcal{F}'$. It follows that if $\mathcal{Z}_1$ is another vertex in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}')$ and $|\mathcal{Z} \triangle \mathcal{Z}_1| = 1$ then again $\bigcap \mathcal{Z}_1 \setminus \bigcup (\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}' \setminus \mathcal{Z}_1) \subset x$. This shows that $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}')$ is not connected, a contradiction with Theorem 3.2. $\square$

Remark 3.10. One can prove Corollary 3.9 directly using the notation given in the introductory section. This is an outline of such a proof: Suppose that $x \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{F}' \rangle$, where $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{G}$ are finite and disjoint. Then $x = P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = Q(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ for some Boolean polynomials P and Q , and $a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{G}$ all distinct. If ϕ is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{G}$ and pseudoclopens in a connected space K then

$$P(\phi(a_1), \dots)^+ \subset Q(\phi(b_1), \dots)^- \subset P(\phi(a_1), \dots)^-,$$

which implies that the set $P(\phi(a_1), \dots)^+ = P(\phi(a_1), \dots)^-$ is clopen so it is either $\emptyset$ or K . It follows that x is trivial.

As we have seen, Boolean images L of connected spaces have an irredundant family of clopen sets that separates the points. This easily implies that the algebra $\text{Clop}(L)$ has coinitality $\aleph_0$, i.e. it is a union of increasing countable sequence of its proper subalgebras. Such a property is not shared by $\text{Clop}(L)$ where L is a compact F -spaces, see Geschke [4]. In particular, neither $\beta\omega$ nor any extremally disconnected compact spaces is a Boolean image of a compact connected space.

Example 3.11. There is a strongly irredundant finite family $\mathcal{G}$ which is not isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens in a connected space.

Let X be the set of all $x \in \{0, 1\}^5$ such that $|\{i : x_i = 0\}| \neq 3$. Consider $E_i = \{x \in X : x_i = 1\}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5\}$. Then $\text{gr}(\mathcal{G})$ is not connected since every vertex

$\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{G}$ satisfies either $|\mathcal{Y}| \geq 3$ or $|\mathcal{Y}| \leq 1$ and those two parts of the graph are not connected by an edge.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{G}$ is strongly irredundant. If not, there exists a partition $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{H}$, and a nontrivial element $A \in \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle$. By symmetry we can assume that $\mathcal{F} \subset \{E_1, E_2\}$; suppose for instance that $\mathcal{F} = \{E_1, E_2\}$, the other cases are similar. Take $x \in A$ and $y \in X \setminus A$. Then for $x' = (x_1, x_2, 1, 1, 1)$ and $y' = (y_1, y_2, 1, 1, 1)$ we have $x', y' \in X$ and $x' \in A$, $y' \notin A$ because A is determined by the first two coordinates. But then $A \notin \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle$ since A is not determined by the remaining three coordinates.

The next result gives another example of compact space which is not a Boolean image of a connected compactum.

Proposition 3.12. *If L is a separable nonmetrizable scattered space of height 3, then there is no uncountable strongly irredundant family of clopen subsets of L . Therefore L is not a Boolean image of a connected compact space.*

Proof. We can suppose that L'' the second Cantor-Bendixson derivative of L consists of exactly one point, that we call ∞ . Suppose that we have an uncountable strongly irredundant family $\mathcal{G}$ of clopen subsets of L . By switching some elements to their complement, we can suppose that no clopen in $\mathcal{G}$ contains ∞ . By passing to an uncountable family we can suppose that there is one element of the countable dense set that belongs to all clopens in $\mathcal{G}$. We can also suppose that $\{a \cap L' : a \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a Δ -system, since all those sets are finite. Consider a partition $\{a_\gamma, b_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ into doubletons. All intersections $a_\gamma \cap b_\gamma \cap L'$ are equal to the root of this Δ -system, hence all $a_\gamma \cap b_\gamma$ are equal modulo finite. Hence, since there are only countably many possibilities for $a_\gamma \cap b_\gamma \setminus L'$, there are two such intersections which are equal. They are moreover nonempty, since we fixed an element of the dense set which is in all clopens. This implies that $\mathcal{G}$ is not strongly irredundant. $\square$

Let us consider now, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and an uncountable set Γ , the zero-dimensional compact space

$$\sigma_n(\Gamma) = \{x \in 2^\Gamma : |\{\gamma : x_\gamma = 1\}| \leq n\}.$$

If $n = 1$, this is just the one-point compactification of a discrete set of size $|\Gamma|$. It is an easy exercise to check that $\sigma_1(\Gamma)$ is a Boolean image of K if and only if K contains $|\Gamma|$ many pairwise disjoint open sets. We shall prove below that the spaces $\sigma_n(\Gamma)$ can be viewed as n -adequate families, so they are Boolean images of convex compact spaces. The question about subspaces of $\sigma_n(\Gamma)$ seems to be more delicate. The first nontrivial case is $n = 2$,

Proposition 3.13. *If L is a compact subspace of $\sigma_2(\Gamma)$ then L is a Boolean image of a compact connected space.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : 1_{\{\gamma\}} \in K\}$. Given finite $t \subset \Gamma$, let

$$E_t = \{x \in L : x_\gamma = 1 \text{ for all } \gamma \in t\};$$

clearly E_t is a clopen subset of L . We write E_γ rather than $E_{\{\gamma\}}$.

Consider the family $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of all E_t such that either $t = \{\gamma\}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ or $|t| = 2$, $1_t \in L$ and $t \not\subset \Gamma_0$. Then the family $\mathcal{G}$ separates the points of L . Let us check that $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies condition (ii) of Theorem 3.2.

The intersection of three different clopen from $\mathcal{G}$ is always empty, while if $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ and $a_1 \cap a_2 \neq \emptyset$ then

- (i) either $a_i = E_{\{\gamma_i\}}$ for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_0$, and the intersection is $\{1_{\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}}\}$,
- (ii) or we can assume that $a_1 = E_{\gamma_0}$ for $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0$ and $a_2 = E_t$ where $\gamma_0 \in t$ and $t \not\subset \Gamma_0$, in which case the intersection is $a_2 = \{1_t\}$.

It follows that whenever $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$ is finite then every vertex $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies $|\mathcal{Y}| \leq 2$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ is connected to the vertex $\emptyset \subset \mathcal{F}$ by a path in $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F})$. $\square$

We do not know if the above statement remains true for $\sigma_3(\Gamma)$. Our candidate for a counterexample was a subspace L of $\sigma_3(\mathbb{R})$, of the family of characteristic functions of all sets of the form $\{x, x+r, x+2r\}$, where $x, r \in \mathbb{R}$. Every element of L is then an arithmetic triple or a singleton, and L is clearly compact. It is easy to see that the obvious family of clopens $\{E_{\{x\}} : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is not isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens in a connected compactum. However, the following observation shows that K is a Boolean image of a compact connected space.

Remark 3.14. Suppose that $K \subset \sigma_3(\Gamma)$ is a compact space and there is $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$ such that $|\Gamma_1| = |\Gamma|$ and K contains $\sigma_2(\Gamma_1)$. Fix an injection $\varphi : \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$. Then the family

$$\{E_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma_1\} \cup \{E_\gamma \cup E_{\varphi(\gamma)} : \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1\},$$

separates the points of K and is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens in a connected compactum.

4. BOOLEAN IMAGES OF SEPARABLY CONNECTED SPACES

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.6, which is based on the auxiliary result given below. We shall use here the following notation: Given a family $\mathcal{G}$ in $\text{Clop}(L)$ and $x, y \in L$, we write $\mathcal{G}(x, y)$ for the family of those $a \in \mathcal{G}$ which separate x and y , that is $|a \cap \{x, y\}| = 1$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be a separably connected compact space, and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a family of clopen subsets of L which is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens of K .*

Then for every $x, y \in L$ there is a countable decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{G}_n$ such that for every n and every partition $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{H}$, if

$$\mathcal{F} \supset \{a \in \mathcal{G}_n : x, y \in a\} \text{ and } \mathcal{H} \supset \{a \in \mathcal{G}_n : x, y \notin a\},$$

then $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$. In particular, the family $\mathcal{G}_n(x, y)$ is independent for every $n < \omega$.

Proof. Let $\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be an isomorphism of $\mathcal{G}$ with a family of pseudoclopens of K . Pick

$$x' \in \bigcap \{\phi(a)^- : a \in \mathcal{G}, x \in a\} \setminus \bigcup \{\phi(a)^+ : a \in \mathcal{G}, x \notin a\},$$

$$y' \in \bigcap \{\phi(a)^- : a \in \mathcal{G}, y \in a\} \setminus \bigcup \{\phi(a)^+ : a \in \mathcal{G}, y \notin a\}.$$

The fact that the two sets appearing are nonempty follows immediately by the definition of an isomorphism and compactness.

Let S be a separable connected subspace of K that contains x' and y' and let $D = \{d_n : n < \omega\}$ be a countable dense subset of S . We consider a countable decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{G}_n$, where $\mathcal{G}_n$ is defined by the condition

$$d_n \in S \cap (\phi(a)^+ \setminus \phi(a)^-) \text{ whenever } a \in \mathcal{G}_n \text{ and } S \cap (\phi(a)^+ \setminus \phi(a)^-) \neq \emptyset.$$

We fix now $n < \omega$, and take a partition $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}_n$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} \supset \{a \in \mathcal{G}_n : x, y \in a\} \text{ and } \mathcal{H} \supset \{a \in \mathcal{G}_n : x, y \notin a\}.$$

We claim that

$$(\star) \quad d_n \in \bigcap \{\phi(a)^+ : a \in \mathcal{F}\} \setminus \bigcup \{\phi(a)^- : a \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

To verify the claim, consider $a \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and the following two cases.

Suppose that $(\phi(a)^+ \setminus \phi(a)^-) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Then $d_n \in \phi(a)^+ \setminus \phi(a)^-$ by the definition of $\mathcal{G}_n$, so, for instance, $d_n \in \phi(a)^+$ no matter if a_n is in $\mathcal{F}$ or in $\mathcal{H}$. Similarly, $d_n \notin \phi(a)^-$.

Suppose now that $(\phi(a)^+ \setminus \phi(a)^-) \cap S = \emptyset$. Since S is connected, either $S \subset \phi(a)^-$ or $S \subset K \setminus \phi(a)^+$. If the former holds then $x', y' \in \phi(a)^-$, which implies that $x, y \in a$ (by the definition of x', y'). We conclude that $a \in \mathcal{F}$ (by our hypothesis on $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}$) and then we get that $d_n \in S \subset \phi(a)^- \subset \phi(a)^+$, as desired. If the latter occurs, i.e. $S \subset K \setminus \phi(a)^+$ then $x', y' \notin \phi(a)^+$, which implies that $x, y \notin a$ (by the definition of x', y'), which in turn implies that $a \in \mathcal{H}$ (by our hypothesis on $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}$) and then

$$d_n \in S \subset K \setminus \phi(a)^+.$$

This completes the proof of $(\star)$.

Now using the fact that ϕ is an isomorphism and $(\star)$ we deduce that $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$, as required. $\square$

As a corollary we obtain Theorem 1.6, this is its proof:

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a family of clopen subsets of L that separates the points of L , which is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens of K . Let 1_a denote the characteristic function of a set a , so that $1_a(x) = 1$ if $a \in x$ and $1_a(x) = 0$ if $x \notin a$. We consider two cases.

- (i) Suppose that for every $x, y \in L$, the family $\mathcal{G}(x, y)$ is countable. In this case, L is Corson, because for a fixed $x \in L$, the map $y \mapsto (1_a(y) - 1_a(x))_{a \in \mathcal{G}}$ embeds L into $\Sigma(\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{G}})$.
- (ii) In the remaining case there are $x, y \in L$ such that $\mathcal{G}(x, y)$ is uncountable. Consider then the decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{G}_n$ given by Theorem 4.1. Then $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{G}(x, y) \cap \mathcal{G}_n$ is an uncountable independent family for some n so the mapping $f : L \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{Y}}$ given by $f(x) = (1_a(x))_{a \in \mathcal{Y}}$ is a continuous surjection.

The proof is complete. $\square$

Let us now explore some consequences of Theorem 1.6.

Corollary 4.2. *If K is separably connected space that does not map onto $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$, then every Boolean image of K is Corson compact.*

Proof. Otherwise, K has a Boolean image that maps onto 2^{ω_1} . By Proposition 2.2, this implies that K has a closed subspace that maps continuously onto 2^{ω_1} , hence also onto $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$. By Tietze's extension theorem, K itself maps continuously onto $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Let K be a connected compactum that is separable and does not map continuously onto $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$. Then every Boolean image of K is metrizable.*

Proof. Let L be a Boolean image of K . By Proposition 2.2, L is a continuous image of some closed subspace $K_0 \subset K$. Note that a continuous surjection $g : L \rightarrow 2^{\omega_1}$ would give a continuous surjection $K_0 \rightarrow 2^{\omega_1}$, and consequently, a continuous surjection $K \rightarrow [0, 1]^{\omega_1}$, which is excluded by the assumption. By Theorem 1.6, L is Corson compact.

On the other hand, K is separable, so it is a continuous image of $\beta\omega$. Hence by Proposition 2.1, L is separable. Every separable Corson compact space is metrizable, and we are done. $\square$

Remark 4.4. The spaces such as the split interval or $[0, \omega_1]$ are natural examples of zero-dimensional compacta that are Boolean images of a compact connected spaces (by Corollary 3.7) but are not Boolean images of compact separably connected spaces.

Theorem 1.6 does not provide a definite answer about which zero-dimensional compact spaces are Boolean images of separably connected or path-connected compact sets. It is even unclear which Corson compact spaces are Boolean images of such kind. Let us consider a few more examples to add to the picture.

An adequate family is a family $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of a set Γ such that a set A belongs to $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if all finite subsets of A belong to $\mathcal{A}$. Such a family may be seen as a compact space $L = \{x \in 2^\Gamma : \{\gamma : x_\gamma = 1\} \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Every space L defined by an adequate family is a Boolean image of a path-connected compact space.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an adequate family of subsets of Γ and let

$$L = \{x \in 2^\Gamma : \{\gamma : x_\gamma = 1\} \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Consider the space K defined by

$$K = \{x \in [0, 1]^\Gamma : \{\gamma : x_\gamma \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Note that K is closed in $[0, 1]^\Gamma$, for if $x \in [0, 1]^\Gamma \setminus K$ then $S = \{\gamma : x_\gamma \neq 0\} \notin \mathcal{A}$ so $I \notin \mathcal{A}$ for some finite $I \subset S$, and it is easy to define a neighbourhood of x that is disjoint from K . Note that $L \subset K$ and K is path-connected since every $x \in K$ is connected by a segment inside K joining x and $0 \in K$.

Let $E_\gamma = \{x \in L : x_\gamma = 1\}$ be the basic clopen subset of L , and let $V_\gamma = \{x \in K : x_\gamma > 0\}$. It is easy to check that letting $\phi(E_\gamma) = (E_\gamma, V_\gamma)$ we define an isomorphism between $\{E_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and the family of pseudoclopes in K . $\square$

Another interesting example are tree spaces. Recall that a tree is a partially ordered set T with a minimum element (its root) and in which each initial segment $\{t \in T : t < s\}$ is well ordered. Let $\bar{T}$ be a family of subsets of T that are either initial segments or full

branches in T . Then $\bar{T}$ is again a tree when ordered by inclusion, it is in a sense the completion of T . The tree space associated to T is the compact subspace of 2^T made of the characteristic functions of subsets $A \in \bar{T}$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let T be a tree and let $L \subset 2^T$ be the tree space defined by T , that is for every $x \in L$ we have $\{t \in T : x_t = 1\} \in \bar{T}$. Then L is a Boolean image of a compact connected space. If all branches of T are countable, then L is a Boolean image of a path-connected space.*

Proof. For every $t \in T$ let $E_t = \{x \in L : x_t = 1\}$. Then $\{E_t : t \in T\}$ is a point-separating family of clopen subsets of L . Since sets E_t are either comparable or disjoint, the first assertion follows immediately from Corollary 3.6. We may, however, construct the desired connected compactum K directly as follows.

Consider $K \subset [0, 1]^T$ the set of all z that either belong to L or there exists $t \in T$ such that $z_s = 1$ if $s < t$, and $z_s = 0$ if $s \not\leq t$. Note that in such a case, z_t is an arbitrary element of $[0, 1]$. This means that we are creating a path to connect each successor segment to its predecessor. This easily implies that the space K is connected; it is moreover path-connected if all branches of T are countable.

For every $t \in T$ put $V_t = \{x \in K : x_t > 0\}$. Then $\phi(F_t) = (F_t, V_t)$ defines the required isomorphism. Indeed, if

$$\bigcap_{t \in I} V_t \setminus \bigcup_{s \in J} F_s \neq \emptyset,$$

for some finite $I, J \subset T$ then

- I consists of elements that are comparable in T so $\bigcap_{t \in I} V_t = V_{t_0}$, where t_0 is the maximal element of I ;
- no element of J is below t_0 .

Hence, taking $x \in L$ such that $x_t = 1$ for $t < t_0$ and $x_t = 0$ otherwise, we have $x \in \bigcap_{t \in I} F_t \setminus \bigcup_{s \in J} F_s$. $\square$

5. BOOLEAN IMAGES OF CONVEX SPACES

The result given below is an augmented version of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that K is a convex compact subset of $[0, 1]^\Gamma$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a family of clopen subsets of L which is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens of K . Then there is a countable decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{G}_n$ such that for every $x, y \in L$ and for every $n < \omega$ there exists a further finite decomposition $\mathcal{G}_n = \bigcup_{m=0}^n \mathcal{G}_{nm}$ such that for every partition $\mathcal{G}_{nm} = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{H}$, if*

$$\mathcal{F} \supset \{a \in \mathcal{G}_{nm} : x, y \in a\} \text{ and } \mathcal{H} \supset \{a \in \mathcal{G}_{nm} : x, y \notin a\}$$

then $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$. In particular, the family $\mathcal{G}_{nm}(x, y)$, of those elements of $\mathcal{G}_{nm}$ that separate x and y , is independent for every $m < \omega$.

Proof. Let $\phi : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be an isomorphism of $\mathcal{G}$ with a family of pseudoclopens of K . For $x, y \in [0, 1]^\Gamma$, let $\rho(x, y) = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |x_\gamma - y_\gamma|$. Note that if F, H are disjoint closed sets in K , then

$$\rho(F, H) = \inf\{\rho(x, y) : x \in F, y \in H\} > 0.$$

Choose the decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{G}_n$ in such a way that $\rho(\phi(a)^-, K \setminus \phi(a)^+) > 1/n$ for all $a \in \mathcal{G}_n$. Now, fix $n < \omega$ and $x, y \in L$ and pick

$$x' \in \bigcap \{\phi(a)^- : a \in \mathcal{G}, x \in a\} \setminus \bigcup \{\phi(a)^+ : a \in \mathcal{G}, x \notin a\},$$

$$y' \in \bigcap \{\phi(a)^- : a \in \mathcal{G}, y \in a\} \setminus \bigcup \{\phi(a)^+ : a \in \mathcal{G}, y \notin a\}.$$

Let $D = \{d_m : m = 0, \dots, n\}$ be a $1/n$ -dense subset of the segment

$$S = \{r \cdot x' + (1 - r) \cdot y' : r \in [0, 1],$$

joining x' and y' , in the sense that for every $z \in S$ there exists $d_m \in D$ such that $\rho(z, d_m) < 1/n$; we can suppose that $x', y' \in D$.

Consider families $\mathcal{G}_{nm}$ defined by the condition

$$d_m \in S \cap (\phi(a)^+ \setminus \phi(a)^-) \text{ whenever } a \in \mathcal{G}_{nm} \text{ and } S \cap (\phi(a)^+ \setminus \phi(a)^-) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then $\mathcal{G}_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^n \mathcal{G}_{nm}$ because if $a \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and the set $S \cap (\phi(a)^+ \setminus \phi(a)^-)$ is nonempty then it must contain an subinterval of S which is either initial or final or of length greater than $1/n$. We fix $m \leq n$, and a partition $\mathcal{G}_{nm} = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{H}$, such that $\mathcal{F} \supset \{a \in \mathcal{G}_{nm} : x, y \in a\}$ and $\mathcal{H} \supset \{a \in \mathcal{G}_{nm} : x, y \notin a\}$. Then,

$$(\star) \quad d_m \in \bigcap \{\phi(a)^+ : a \in \mathcal{F}\} \setminus \bigcup \{\phi(a)^- : a \in \mathcal{H}\},$$

which can be checked following the argument appearing the the proof of Theorem 4.1. Likewise, using compactness and the fact that ϕ is an isomorphism, from $(\star)$ we deduce that $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

With the help of Theorem 5.1 we shall give an example of a zero-dimensional space which is the Boolean image of a path-connected space but cannot be a Boolean image of a convex space.

Let $L \subset 2^\Gamma$ be a compact space. Recall that every $a \in \text{Clop}(L)$ depends on a finite number of coordinates, that is there is a finite set $F \subset \Gamma$ such that for every $x \in a$ and $y \in L$, if $x_\gamma = y_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in F$ then $y \in a$.

The space we are going to discuss is defined by some tree T (see Proposition 4.6); recall that we mean

$$L = \{x \in 2^T : \{t \in T : x_t = 1\} \in \bar{T}\} \subset 2^T.$$

We need the following two general observations.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $L \subset 2^T$ be the space defined by a tree T . Suppose that $(a_n)_n$ is a sequence in $\text{Clop}(L)$ such that every a_n depends on coordinates in $F_n \subset T$, where $|F_n| \leq k$, and k is a fixed natural number.*

Then the sequence $(a_n)_n$ is not independent.

Proof. For every $t \in T$ we define $x(t), y(t) \in L$, where $x(t) = 1_{\{s:s < t\}}$, $y(t) = 1_{\{s:s \leq t\}}$.

CLAIM. Let a set $a \in \text{Clop}(L)$ depends on coordinates in $F \subset T$. If $a \neq \emptyset$ and $a \neq L$ then there is $t \in F$ such that either $x(t) \in a$ or $y(t) \in a$.

To prove Claim suppose that the constant function $0 \in L$ is not in a and take any $x \in a$. Then for $B = \{t \in T : x_t = 1\} \in \bar{T}$ we must have $B \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Taking $t = \max(B \cap F)$ we get $y(t) \in a$ because $x \in a$ and the points x and $y(t)$ agree on F . In the remaining case, when $0 \in a$, take $x \notin a$ and define B as before. Then for $t = \min(B \cap F)$ we have $x(t) \in a$.

Take now n such that $2^n > 2nk$. Every atom b of the finite sequence $a_1, \dots, a_n$ depends on coordinates in the set $F = \bigcup_{i \leq n} F_i$, where $|F| \leq kn$. The set $\{x(t), y(t) : t \in F\}$ has at most $2|F| \leq 2kn$ points, so Claim implies that some atom b must be empty. $\square$

Recall that a subset of a tree is an antichain if it contains no pair of comparable elements in the tree order. A tree is called *special* if it is a union of countably many antichains.

Lemma 5.3. *Let T be a tree admitting a decomposition $T = \bigcup_n T_n$, where, for every n , T_n contains no infinite chain. Then the tree T is special.*

Proof. Let T_{nk} be the set of all $t \in T_n$ such that k is the maximal size of a chain of elements from T_n which are below t . Then $T = \bigcup_{n,k} T_{nk}$ and every T_{nk} is an antichain. $\square$

The tree T we use below consists of all the subsets of the set of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ that are well-ordered by the usual order on the real line. The tree order in T is defined by end-extension, that is for $t, s \in T$, we define $t \leq s$ if t is an initial segment of s . Note that every branch in T is countable. We shall use the fact that the tree T is not special, see Todorcevic [8, Corollary 9.9].

Theorem 5.4. *Let T be the tree of all well ordered subsets of $\mathbb{Q}$ ordered by end-extension. Then the space $L \subset 2^T$ defined by T is a Boolean image of a path-connected space but it is not a Boolean image of any compact convex set.*

Proof. The first statement follows from Proposition 4.6, so we focus on the second statement.

We argue by contradiction: Let $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Clop}(L)$ be a point-separating family which is isomorphic to a family of pseudoclopens of a convex compact set, so that we have the decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{G}_n$ as in Theorem 5.1. Given a clopen subset a of L , let $F(a) \subset T$ be the finite set of coordinates on which a depends. For every $t \in T$ there must exist a clopen set $a_t \in \mathcal{G}$ that separates $x(t)$ from $y(t)$, where $x(t) = 1_{\{s:s < t\}}$, $y(t) = 1_{\{s:s \leq t\}}$. We shall consider also a finite set of rational numbers Q_t , where

$$Q_t = \{\min(t \setminus s) : s, t \in F(a_t), t \setminus s \neq \emptyset\}$$

For every $n, k < \omega$, finite set $Q \subset \mathbb{Q}$ and $\xi \in \{0, 1\}$, let

$$T(n, k, Q, \xi) = \{t \in T : a_t \in \mathcal{G}_n, |F(a_t)| = k, Q_t = Q, 1_{a_t}(x(t)) = \xi\}.$$

CLAIM. For fixed n, Q and ξ , the set $T(n, k, Q, \xi)$ does not contain any infinite chain.

Note first that Claim leads quickly to the desired contradiction. Indeed, we have

$$T = \bigcup_{n, Q, \xi} T(n, Q, \xi),$$

and once we know that every $T(n, Q, \xi)$ contains no infinite chain, we conclude from Lemma 5.3 that the tree T is special while, as we mentioned above, this is not the case ([8, Corollary 9.9]).

Thus we need only to verify the claim above. Suppose, on the contrary, that we had an infinite chain $t_0 < t_1 < \dots$ inside $T(n, k, Q, \xi)$. Let $t_\infty = \bigcup_{i < \omega} t_i \in T$; let $q_i = \min(t_{i+1} \setminus t_i)$ and $q_\infty = \sup_i q_i$ (so that q_∞ is either a real number or $q_\infty = +\infty$).

By removing a finite number of elements of the chain, we can suppose that $[q_0, q_\infty) \cap Q = \emptyset$. This implies that, for every $i < \omega$, the following holds

$$(\star) \quad \{s \in F(a_{t_i}) : t_0 \leq s \leq t_\infty\} = \{t_i\}.$$

Indeed, note first that $t_i \in F(a_{t_i})$ because a_{t_i} separates $x(t_i)$ from $y(t_i)$. If we had $s \in F(a_{t_i})$ with $t_0 \leq s \leq p_\infty$ and $s \neq t_i$ then, for instance $s < t_i$ and $q = \min(t_i \setminus s) \in Q$ is in $[q_0, q_\infty)$, but this was excluded.

For simplicity in notation, we suppose that $\xi = 0$, the other case being completely analogous. In other words, we assume that $x(t_i) \notin a_{t_i}$ but $y(t_i) \in a_{t_i}$ for every i .

Consider now $x = x(t_0)$ and $z = x(t_\infty)$. The condition $(\star)$ above implies that $x \notin a_i$ and $z \in a_i$ for every i (by the fact that a_i depends on coordinates in $F(a_i)$). We take the finite decomposition $\mathcal{G}_n = \bigcup_m \mathcal{G}_{nm}$ of Theorem 5.1 associated to this x and z . By passing to a subchain, we can suppose that all a_{t_i} belong to the same piece $\mathcal{G}_{nm}$ of the partition and by Theorem 5.1, the sequence of a_{t_i} is independent. But this is impossible in view of Lemma 5.2. This shows Claim and the proof is complete. $\square$

Finally, we give a result on some class of Boolean images of convex compacta. Given a natural number n , an adequate family $\mathcal{A}$ of sets is n -adequate if a set belongs to $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if all of its subsets of cardinality at most n belong to $\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 5.5. *Every compact space L defined by an n -adequate family for some n is a Boolean image of a compact convex set.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an n -adequate family of subsets of some Γ . Recall that

$$L = \{x \in 2^\Gamma : \{\gamma : x_\gamma = 1\} \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

We define K to be the closed convex hull of L inside $[0, 1]^\Gamma$. Writing

$$E_\gamma = \{x \in L : x_\gamma = 1\}, V_\gamma = \{x \in K : x_\gamma > 1 - 1/n\},$$

we check that the formula $\phi(E_\gamma) = (E_\gamma, V_\gamma)$ gives the required isomorphism.

CLAIM. If $x \in K$ then $A = \{\gamma : x_\gamma > 1 - 1/n\} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Indeed, if $A \notin \mathcal{A}$ then take $I \subset A$ of size $\leq n$ such that $I \notin \mathcal{A}$. On the other hand, consider any convex combination $y = \sum_{k \leq m} r_k \cdot x^k$, where $x^1, \dots, x^m \in L$. Then for every k

there is $\gamma_k \in I$ such that $x_{\gamma_k}^k = 0$. Then there is $\gamma \in I$ such that

$$\sum_{\gamma_k=\gamma} r_k \geq 1/n,$$

(since $|I| \leq n$). This gives $y_\gamma \leq 1 - 1/n$. It follows that x is not in the closure of $\text{conv}(L)$, a contradiction.

Checking that ϕ is an isomorphism reduces easily to verifying that for any finite $A \subset \Gamma$,

$$\text{if } \bigcap_{\gamma \in A} V_\gamma \neq \emptyset \text{ then } \bigcap_{\gamma \in A} E_\gamma \neq \emptyset,$$

but this follows directly from Claim. □

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Avilés, *Compact spaces that do not map onto finite products*, Fund. Math. 202, no.1 (2009), 81–96.
- [2] C. Brech, P. Koszmider, *An isometrically universal Banach space induced by a non-universal Boolean algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (2016), 2029–2036.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General topology*, Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 60. [Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 60], PWN—Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw (1977).
- [4] S. Geschke, *The coinitiality of a compact space*, Topology Proceedings 30 (2006), 237–250.
- [5] L. Heindorf, *On subalgebras of Boolean interval algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 2265–2274.
- [6] P. Koszmider, *Uncountable equilateral sets in Banach spaces of the form $C(K)$* , preprint, arxiv.org 1503.06356.
- [7] M. Rudin, *Nikiel’s conjecture*, Topology Appl. 116 (2001), 305–331.
- [8] S. Todorćević, *Trees and linearly ordered sets*, Handbook of set-theoretic topology (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984) 235–293.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, 30100 ESPINARDO (MURCIA), SPAIN

E-mail address: avileslo@um.es

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIWERSYTET WROCŁAWSKI, PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50-384 WROCŁAW, POLAND

E-mail address: grzes@math.uni.wroc.pl